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ABSTRACT
The Cowes unit is located on the Isle of Wight, off the southern coast of England. It is an 87,000 kVA air cooled generator, originally manufactured by Parsons. The generator suffered a failure when a rotor slot wedge failed in fatigue and spun out of the rotor. The wedge hit a lube oil line at the exciter end of the machine, which spewed hot oil over the area. A fire started which severely damaged the exciter and exciter end of the generator. The exciter rotating shaft suffered a severe bend due to the extreme heat. The exciter end of the generator rotor was also significantly damaged due to the fire. Additional slot wedges that exited the slot did further damage to the stator core and the stator winding. Both generator bearings wiped due to the subsequent failure of the lube oil system.

An extensive evaluation of all the failed components was conducted, with recommendations for repair or replacement. This paper will describe the evaluation methodology for deciding on which components could be repaired or needed to be replaced. Hardness mapping, dimensional verification, and visual inspection will all be discussed and presented, with very vivid pictures of the damage and rebuild process. Rotor shaft repair / replacement options will be discussed. Exciter replacement alternatives, such as the choice between going back with a similar brushless
system, or changing to a static excitation system, along with the pro’s and con’s of each, will be presented. Planned design improvements, as part of the rebuild process, are described where possible. Due to the temperature and extent of the fire, and the subsequent damage, the entire exciter needed to be replaced, along with the stator core, stator winding, rotor forging, rotor winding, and most of the components of the rotor.

This paper would be useful for plant owners, insurance investigators, or other consultants that are faced with assessing plant component damage. Alternatives and choices made as part of this rebuild will help others in similar situations make more informed decisions about repairs and or replacements.

DESCRIPTION OF DAMAGE

Background of Failure
There are two 70 MW, 11.8 kV Parson’s generators, placed in service in the early 80’s at the Cowes Power Station on the Isle of Wight. Each generator has a gas turbine at each end. One end has a brushless exciter, between the generator and one of the gas turbines. One of the two generators on site had a rotor slot wedge failure after nearly 20 years of operation. The wedge stress was excessive due to the stress concentration in the fillet of the wedge. When the wedge failed in fatigue, it was thrown out of the slot due to rotational forces. That wedge, or subsequent wedges or copper were thrown out of the machine and severed a lube oil line near the exciter. A fire started in the lube oil pump and exciter area and burned for some time, causing extensive damage to the exciter, generator rotor shaft, and stator end turns. The stator core was damaged throughout by wedges and copper that were thrown out of the slot.

After this failure, the other, identical unit, had its rotor pulled out and wedges inspected. The existing wedges were replaced with a new, lower stress design, and the unit was put back in service. Due to logistical and financial constraints, as well as excess capacity, the failed generator was not dismantled for several years, until the start of this project. The evaluation of the generator and exciter damage, as well as the consideration of repair and replace decisions, are the subject of this paper.
**Rotor and Exciter**

An initial inspection was done of the rotor as it sat at the owner's site. Based on visual inspection alone, it wasn’t clear if the rotor forging could be reused or not. It was recommended that a re-qualification program be developed to determine the suitability of repairing the rotor and returning it back to service. Tests and evaluations, such as TIR (Total Indicator Runouts) and concentricity checks, hardness mapping, metallurgical replicas, and material sampling with Charpy and elongation checks, would be done. Gages would be made to check all the slots. The highest degree of concern would be the material properties and integrity of the generator rotor forging. The exciter end of the rotor forging was in close proximity, if not at the center of the fire. Figure 1 shows the exciter end of the generator rotor and is shown below.

![Exciter end of the generator rotor](image-url)

*Figure 1: Exciter end of the generator rotor.*
The exciter had a severely bent shaft, measurable in inches. Several of the coupling bolts in the generator’s exciter end coupling were severely bent from the heating and impossible to remove from the generator rotor coupling.

Shaft seals were damaged and the journals would have to be reground, if the forging could be saved.

New seals and bearings would be necessary. It was felt that it might be possible to re-use the bearing casing and pedestals. There was no obvious distortion of the rotor shaft or couplings. The connection coupling was damaged and would need to be replaced. A photo of the bent exciter shaft is shown below.

Figure 2: Damage to the exciter from the fire was extensive.
**Stator Core and Winding**

Due to the damage from the expelled rotor slot wedge and rotor copper, as well as the heat from the fire, it was readily apparent that the stator winding and core had to be replaced. The damage to the stator core, as shown in Figure 3, was not limited to just one end of the stator. Therefore, a partial restack was not possible. The damage was found throughout the entire core. There were no obvious signs of melting of the core pressing plate, key bars, or other components. There were no obvious signs of paint blistering on these parts, but the winding had areas of heavy accumulations of soot, and the insulating clip caps at the ends of the bars had obviously melted, as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 3: Stator core laminations were displaced due to heat and mechanical pressure over the length of the slot.
Figure 4: Soot covered exciter end of the generator stator winding is shown above. Notice the heat damage to the insulating clip caps at the ends of the bars.

The next section of this paper discusses in more detail the evaluation of the components and possible repair or replace options that were considered.

ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT, REPAIR AND DESIGN IMPROVEMENTS

**Rotor Shaft**
In the evaluation phase, it was determined that reusability of the rotor forging was the single largest uncertainty of this project, and would cause the greatest price differential depending upon the workscope that was needed. The primary concern about the rotor shaft was its “straightness” and its current material properties. Initially, several repair or replace options were considered.
These are listed below.

- Reuse the rotor forging “as is”
- Perform a weld overlay of the rotor shaft end and then re-machine to correct any distortion.
- Straighten the rotor shaft end
- Cut off the end of the rotor shaft and replace it
- Manufacture a new rotor forging

It was proposed by NEC and accepted by the customer, that a methodical series of rotor forging qualifications and evaluations would be done to determine the necessary workscope for the rotor forging shaft. This step by step evaluation approach to re-qualify and possibly reuse the rotor would provide the highest degree of reliability at the minimum cost. The rotor would be disassembled, first, by removing the fan hubs, retaining rings and the copper windings. The following evaluation steps would then be done:

1. Perform *Visual Examinations and Inspections* of the entire rotor for signs of overheating to the point of melting and tooth damage from the slot wedges. Areas of discoloration, blackening, or distorted metal could be signs of overheating. Damaged areas would be documented in writing and with photographs.
2. Perform *Rotor Run-outs* in the lathe on all diameters of the rotor forging using specified acceptance criteria guidelines.
3. Do *Perpendicularity Checks* on the coupling faces (+ / - 0.0254 mm, + / - 0.001 inch). This would be done by axial run-outs on the coupling faces, at various radial locations.
4. Perform *Diametral Checks* at each runout location at 180 degree intervals. Egg-shaped protrusions, or depressions would be cause for material disqualification.
5. Conduct *Hardness Mapping* of the exciter end coupling face, rim outer diameter, outboard seal area, exciter end journal, inboard seal area, and exciter end shaft extension. Hardened areas, with Brinnel Hardness numbers greater than 100 over the average value at samples on the non-exciter end, would be cause for material disqualification.
6. Apply *Nital Etch Solution* (alternative is Ammonium Persulfate) on discovered hard spots for visual determination of the total surface area affected by overheating.
7. **Measure Slot Width** with machinists parallels. Take measurements at every slot, two axial locations; one near the turbine end and one near the exciter end. A variance greater than +/- 0.005 inches would be cause for further investigation.

If all the above measurements and checks were satisfactory, a boresonic exam would be done to prove the rotor bore and interior are acceptable for reuse. Depending upon the findings above, the following repair options would then be considered.

- **Reuse of the rotor “as is:”** Of course, this option would be the most desirable, but it would be based on a successful evaluation utilizing all the re-qualification criteria as described above.

- **Perform a weld overlay of the rotor shaft end and then re-machine to correct any distortion:** This repair option would be used if there were only minor dimensional irregularities due to the overheating event. These irregular areas would have a weld overlay, then a re-machining, all of which could be done in the field. This option would include some machining for weld preparation and to remove some localized damaged material from the overheating event. Final re-machining of the shaft end would put the rotor back to its original design configuration.

- **Straighten the rotor shaft end:** This option would be utilized if significant bending of the exciter end shaft was apparent. The process is a combination of shaft end heating, welding, and straightening. This option would straighten a bent or bowed shaft end, remove and repair any damaged material, repair a deformed or damaged coupling and return the rotor to its original design configuration.

- **Cut off the end of the shaft and replace it:** If severe material degradation is present, due to the overheating, the exciter end of the generator rotor shaft could be replaced by welding a new shaft end forging to the original rotor forging. The severe material degradation would be characterized by excessive dimensional distortion, observation of material displacement, and extensive martensitic grain structure transformation. This option would remove and repair all shaft end, coupling, and material degradation damage.
• **Manufacture of a new rotor shaft forging:** This option would be recommended if material degradation and physical damage to the rotor shaft is severe and beyond one of the repair options listed previously. The new forging, if required would be procured to the latest non-destructive evaluation standards. Machining drawings would be made to engineering specifications.

**Rotor Forging Evaluation Results**

Rotor hardness tests were conducted over the entire length of the rotor shaft forging, particularly at the heat damaged exciter end. Two locations were chosen, 180 degrees apart, at each major diameter of the rotor. Figure 5 shows the overall geometry of the rotor, and the hardness reading results in Brinnel. Surprisingly, no hardness locations were observed, and, even at the heat-damaged end, the overall criteria of a 100 point hardness differential was not violated. Hardness readings ranged from about 250 BHN to about 200 BHN, which is well in the expected range for this material. As a result of these tests, no etching was done on any specific area.

![Figure 5: Hardness results in Brinnel.](image)

Slot width measurements were taken at two axial locations, in each and every slot, because even small variations in slot width can necessitate extensive repair or even replacement of the forging. It was suspected that substantial warping of the slot width had occurred at the exciter end, due to displacement of the rotor teeth from the excessive heat. Surprisingly, once again, significant variation in slot width was not observed in any of the slots. A detailed summary of the measurements is shown in Figure 6.
Figure 6: Slot width measurements.  
One slot, number 7, did show a difference of 0.019 inches between the turbine and exciter ends. This was rechecked and reconfirmed. It was seen as possible that this difference could have been a machining deviation from the original manufacture of the rotor, since any dimensional changes due to overheating would have been more widely evident in the other slots.

Diameter measurements were taken at various axial lengths of the rotor. Two measurements were taken at each location. Any significant lump or protrusion due to heat distortion would be picked up. Results of the measurements are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7: Results of diametral measurements.
These diametral measurements did not show any significant distortion of the rotor. Even the generator coupling at the exciter end, which experienced the most heat, had only a 0.010 inch difference between the two measurements.

Runout readings were taken with the rotor in the lathe. This measurement would indicate if the rotor shaft is bent in any manner. Results are summarized in Figure 8. Total Indicator Runout (TIR) readings showed a sharp bend in the rotor shaft near the exciter end of the generator rotor. The coupling, for instance had a total TIR of 0.310 inches in the radial direction. Results of the axial runouts taken on the face of the coupling were excessive also, with readings of 0.176 inches near the outer diameter rim of the coupling. These measurements clearly indicated a significant bend in the rotor shaft. The repair method would require either the removal of the shaft end and re-welding or the complete replacement of the rotor shaft.

![Diagram of Generator Rotor Runout Measurements](image)

**Figure 8: Results of TIR readings.**

Due to the large amount of distortion to the end of the rotor, consideration of the overall price of a weld repair option, and taking into account the complex process control required to ensure
integrity of a weld repair option, NEC recommended a new rotor forging. The new forging would have improved material properties over the original forging, and would be re-engineered to match the dimensions of the original rotor.

**Rotor Field Winding/Coils**

Visual inspection of the rotor coils no significant distortion of the end turns. Signs of copper turn melting were not evident either. Several top turns were displaced, possibly due to the removal of the retaining ring. A hardness check of an existing copper turn on the non-exciter end showed the copper to be of adequate hardness. The turn insulation consisted of a rubberized material, coated onto each turn. In practice, this type of insulation material has been very difficult to completely remove from the rotor turns. This fact, coupled with some minor distortion of copper top turns, new copper coils were recommended and accepted.

**Rotor Wedges**

The existing rotor wedges were analyzed by classical and finite element methods. The analysis was performed at both operating speed (3000 rpm) and 20% over speed (3600 rpm). The maximum bending stress in the wedge was 356 MPa (51.6 ksi) and the shear stress was 73.7 MPa (10.7 ksi). Based on 7075-T6 Aluminum, with a tensile yield strength of 505 MPa, the factor of safety on yield was 1.4 (bending stress).

In addition to other details, NEC proposed that the new rotor design include wedges with an increased radius of 3 mm at the shoulder. Incorporating this feature would increase the fatigue life of the wedges. Based on 7075-T6 Aluminum, the fatigue life would increase 60 times, to 32 million cycles, well beyond the low-cycle fatigue range.

An ANSYS Finite Element Model was created to analyze the stresses in the old and new wedge designs. The model incorporated displacement of the rotor body at its end, as well as shrink fit from the retaining ring in the same area. An example of one of the stress plots of the wedges is shown below. The high stress concentration in the fillet radius of the wedge is clearly visible, as indicated by the bright red area.
**Rotor Fan Blades**

Only two original fan blades were available after the generator failure. New rotor fan blades were manufactured to fit the fan rings at each end of the generator rotor. The original design, including the material and exact geometry, was re-engineered to provide full ventilation and mechanical performance. The tapered and twisted configuration of the blade was duplicated by using a sophisticated laser beam tracker that replicates the exact point on the blade into a CAD/CAM tool. The blades were machined to original blade dimensions, including the blade and the root.

**Rotor End Rings (Retaining Rings)**

New 18Mn18Cr retaining rings were manufactured at the customer’s request. The original rings were magnetic material. The original design of the rings included a bayonet style attachment to the rotor. This design was changed to a conventional snap ring attachment, which facilitates removal of the rings, if necessary, in the future.
**Other Rotor Components**

Other rotor components were replaced as part of the standard rotor refurbishment and rewind process. This, of course, included all new insulation material.

**Exciter**

Since the exciter was a total loss, two options were considered to completely replace the exciter. The first was a direct replacement of the existing exciter, with the same configuration and function as the original one. The second option was replacement with a static excitation system that would provide the same function as the original excitation equipment. A replacement exciter shaft, identical to the original would be utilized. The shaft would incorporate slip rings that will feed the current through brushes to the generator rotor. Both options are more fully described below.

- **Replacement with a New Brushless Exciter Arrangement:** The proposed replacement rotating rectifier for the generator would be designed and manufactured to duplicate the original equipment electrically and mechanically. It would be rated to match the existing conditions of the generator and would include the following features:
  - Shaft length from generator to clutch coupling faces
  - Coupling pilot fits and bolting configuration
  - Electrical connections to the generator
  - Permanent Magnet Generator (PMG) output and electrical interface to the existing Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR)
  - Diodes and Fuses identical to those in the existing Rotating Rectifier.
  - An alternator with the same output as the original alternator and capable of producing the exciter output in combination with the diodes
  - A six-pole alternator stator designed to work identically as the original
  - A bearing and pedestal design and manufacture providing the same rotor dynamics as the original
  - A housing and base providing the same functional capabilities as the originals
  - All materials equal or better than the originals from a functional standpoint
  - An overall arrangement duplicating the form, fit and function of the original rotating rectifier.
In addition to geometrically duplicating all the components, analyses would be performed on each component to assure it can perform reliably over the expected life of the replacement rotating rectifier. Material selections and design details, such as the fits between adjacent parts, would be analyzed with respect to the appropriate disciplines. The disciplines involved include the following:

- Structural, to assure materials are not overstressed
- Electrical/thermal, to assure operating temperatures will be within guaranteed limits.
- Mechanical, to assure that the fit up between all interfaces will be stable at operating conditions.
- Electrical, to assure the rotating rectifier is compatible with the AVR and capable of providing the correct excitation to the generator at normal and upset conditions.
- Heat transfer and ventilation, to assure that the mechanically and electrically generated losses can be dissipated without causing excessive temperatures to any rotating rectifier component.

Although the objective is to duplicate the original components, design changes would be made if the analyses indicate that they will improve the components reliability, maintainability and/or functionality providing there are no adverse effects.

- **Replacement with a new Static Excitation System:** This option would incorporate a Digital Exciter System, consisting of a digital excitation controller, fan-cooled rectifier bridge assembly, and power potential transformer (PPT) rated to match customer requirements. The system would be mounted and wired and tested in a NEMA 1 cubicle, complete with accessories. The system would utilize a new exciter shaft with slip rings installed for current feed into the generator rotor. An enclosure would surround the shaft and slip ring assembly, similar to the original exciter enclosure. The existing AVR system would be replaced by the Digital Exciter System. The generator would have black start capability with this system. Below, Figure 10 shows a solid model drawing of
the new exciter connecting shaft and slip rings. In the drawing, the new slip rings and radial conductor leads are clearly visible to the left of the figure.

Figure 10: Solid model drawing of the new exciter connecting shaft and slip rings.

**Static Excitation Option Selected**

The static excitation option offered a significantly lower price, as well as the promise of less maintenance. It was chosen as the best alternative for the replacement of the exciter.

**Stator Core, Winding and Frame**

As mentioned earlier in this paper, visual inspection of the stator core revealed significant displacement and physical damage to the stator core throughout the length of the machine. Based on this inspection, it was obvious that there was no need to perform stator core qualification tests, such as an EL CID test or a Core Loop Test, to determine shorting of the iron laminations. Since the entire core had to be replaced, a new stator winding was also required. Tests for generator frame straightness and squareness were done, using laser guided levels and squares to verify reusability of the frame. Straightness measurements were taken on the face of both frame ends, and down the length of each side of the frame. No significant deviation, distortion or warping was found in the stator frame, so the frame was determined to be suitable for reuse.
New stator core laminations were manufactured using low loss silicon steel. The generator was transported across the channel to another power plant site, where crane and other service accessibility was much better. The generator was stripped, restacked and rewound at this new location, and then transported by transport back to the Isle of Wight.

The new stator winding incorporated a 540 degree Roebel transposition in the slot portion, but otherwise essentially duplicated the original design configuration, since no uprate was required. Ripple springs were incorporated to improve long lasting wedge tightness and to maintain the coil tight and resist steady state slot pounding forces over time. Bar manufacturing processes, and all electrical testing was performed based on strict quality control standards and according to customer requirements.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The generator suffered a major failure due to a cracked rotor slot wedge. This one failed wedge resulted in significant damage to the entire generator, requiring replacement of the rotor forging, rotor winding, retaining rings, stator core, stator winding, and both bearings.

This paper provides an overall summary of the entire engineering review and evaluation of the damage, and of the usability of the individual components, such as the rotor forging, exciter, stator core and the stator winding.

Despite the extensive rebuild of most of the major components of the generator, this option was still the most attractive as compared to a new generator or a complete replacement generator.

A systematic, engineering, methodical approach to the re-qualification of all components, minimized cost to the project, and provided the best solutions and options for repair and replacement.